

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/753,362	Applicant(s) HIRATA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kishor Mayekar	Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Justin Lingard.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and non-elected claims 17, 18 and 21-24

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to clearly recite the electrode-discharge electrode in claim 1 to clearly distinguish from the prior art references by an examiner's amendment. Further, the examiner agrees to examine the non-elected species.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)